

JC901  
08/02/00  
US PTO

08-07-00 A

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → 

PTO/SB/05 (4/98)

Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032  
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UTILITY  
PATENT APPLICATION  
TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. 00-268

First Inventor or Application Identifier Banister, Brian

Title Adaptive Antenna Method and Apparatus

Express Mail Label No. EL64344021US

JC861  
09/03/01  
US PTO

08/02/00

## APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

1.  \* Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)  
(Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing)

2.  Specification [Total Pages ]  
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
 

- Descriptive title of the Invention
- Cross References to Related Applications
- Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D
- Reference to Microfiche Appendix
- Background of the Invention
- Brief Summary of the Invention
- Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
- Detailed Description
- Claim(s)
- Abstract of the Disclosure

3.  Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets ]

4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages ]
 

- a.  Newly executed (original or copy)
- b.  Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d))  
(for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed)
  - i.  DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)  
Signed statement attached deleting  
inventor(s) named in the prior application,  
see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).

\*NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37 C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28).

ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Box Patent Application  
Washington, DC 20231

5.  Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)

6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission  
(if applicable, all necessary)
 

- a.  Computer Readable Copy
- b.  Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
- c.  Statement verifying identity of above copies

## ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

7.  Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))

8.  37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement  Power of  
(when there is an assignee)  Attorney

9.  English Translation Document (if applicable)

10.  Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449  Copies of IDS  
Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449  Citations

11.  Preliminary Amendment

12.  Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)  
(Should be specifically itemized)

13.  \* Small Entity Statement(s)  Statement filed in prior application,  
(PTO/SB/09-12)  Status still proper and desired

14.  Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  
(if foreign priority is claimed)

15.  Other: .....

16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment:

Continuation  Divisional  Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_


Prior application information: Examiner \_\_\_\_\_ Group / Art Unit: \_\_\_\_\_

For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

## 17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Customer Number or Bar Code Label  (Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here) or  Correspondence address below

Name	Bruce Hopenfeld		
Address			
City	State	Zip Code	
Country	Telephone	408.433.6100	Fax 408.433.7460

Name (Print/Type)	Bruce Hopenfeld	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	39,714
Signature		Date	8/2/2000

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

PATENT

Attorney Docket: 00-268

"EXPRESS MAIL" MAILING  
LABEL NUMBER E264364402105  
DATE OF DEPOSIT 8.2.00  
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER OR  
FEE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE  
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
"EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO  
ADDRESSEE" SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R.  
§1.10 ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE  
AND IS ADDRESSED TO THE ASSISTANT  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS,  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

Wendy Taylor  
[NAME OF PARALEGAL]

Adaptive Antenna Method and Apparatus

By:

Brian Banister  
10354 Moselle Street  
San Diego, CA  
Citizenship: U.S.

## **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

### Field of the Invention

5 This invention relates to digital wireless communications and more specifically to methods and apparatus pertaining to an adaptive antennae weighting scheme.

### Description of the Related Art

10 Digital wireless systems presently being deployed are commonly seen to be forward link (base station (“BS”) to mobile station (“MS”)) capacity limited. This is due mostly to asymmetric traffic: most data applications, such as web browsing, are envisioned to be sending data to the mobile user on the forward link, while the reverse link might contain only small control packets, i.e. a new IP address to download, so that the forward data rate is much greater than the reverse data rate.

15 Forward capacity can be increased with an array of antennae in a base station whose individual carrier amplitudes and phases can be adjusted based on feedback from a MS. In particular, a TxA algorithm will typically transmit the same MS-specific waveform on multiple antennae, applying adaptive complex weights to the 20 baseband signal applied to each antenna. To allow the MS to do coherent demodulation, a dedicated pilot channel will typically be transmitted in the same manner as the data.

While RxAA algorithms at the BS are fairly straight forward, TxAAs are not. The mere definition of an “optimal” TxAAs algorithm is not unambiguous as the optimization of one mobile’s forward link can degrade another’s, leading to complex tradeoffs which are not part of the RxAA problem.

5

Most TxAAs algorithms will require some knowledge of the transmission channel from the BS to the MS. Given this knowledge and a defined “optimality” criterion, the BS can determine the TxAAs weights. The difficulty here is that the MS can measure the channel, but it is the BS which needs this information to adjust its transmit weights. Also, there can be some additional complexity in that the mobile which must generally measure the channel of each transmit antenna separately, in addition to measuring the channel of the overall Tx weight adjusted signal, the latter being required for the demodulation of the signal.

Presently, there are a number of proposed methods for implementing TxAAs. According to some of these proposals, a few bits are allocated to the MS to encode the channel gain and phase. See Thomas Derryberry, Balaji Raghothaman (Nokia) “Transmit Adaptive Arrays without User Specific Pilot”, document # C30-19990817-030, submitted to 3GPP2 August 1999; Mark Harrison (Motorola) “Tx AA Parameter Recommendations”, document #C30-19990914-010, submitted to 3GPP2, Tokyo Japan, September 1999; and Mark Harrison, Kiran Kuchi (Motorola) “Open and closed loop transmit diversity at high data rates on 2 and 4 elements”, document #C30-19990817-17, submitted to 3GPP2 August 1999. These methods do not allow the desired antenna weights to be precisely determined

because the channel state must be distorted in order to be fed back to the BS with a low bit rate.

The academic literature has typically assumed that the full channel information is available at the transmitter, which is not a practical assumption. See, e.g. Jen-Wei Liang, Arogyaswami Paulraj “Forward link antenna diversity using feedback for indoor communication systems” Proceedings, 1995 International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, May 1995; Farrokh Rashid-Farrokhi, K.J. Ray Liu, Leandros Tassiulas “Transmit beamforming and power control for cellular wireless systems” IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol. 16, No. 8, October 1998. There have been several submissions to the TIA standardization body for TxAA algorithms with channel feedback from MS to BS, some of which are referenced above. All of these submissions require the mobile to measure a primary and secondary pilot transmitted from the primary and secondary antennae. Some submissions have shown that 4 antenna transmission can give better performance, with no recognition of the increased complexity at the MS.

The use of a few bits of channel feedback leads to substantial degradation relative to the possible performance, since the feedback requires low bit rate quantization. Also, the mobile must individually measure and transmit information for each antenna; the MS requires extra hardware to perform these functions. Furthermore, the above described methods do not gracefully grow to more antennae.

The above mentioned systems are illustrated in Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3, which illustrate a CDMA system. Figure 1 shows a transmitter 10 with two antennae, first antenna 12 and second antenna 14. As is shown, different common pilot signals are associated with different antennae. For each user, such as user 0 and user 1, the transmitter 10 includes an adder, 16 and 18 respectively, that adds together a dedicated pilot signal for the user and the forward traffic for that user. Multipliers 20 and 22 multiply the summed signal with complex weights for the first and second antennae 12 and 14, respectively. For each antenna, the weighted user signals are summed by adders 24 and 26 and the result is added by adders 28 and 30 to the pilot signal for that antenna. (It should be noted that Figure 1 represents a complex baseband equivalent, as no RF modulation stage is shown.)

Figure 2 and Figure 3 show possible embodiments for receivers that may be used to receive signals transmitted by the transmitter 10. As shown in Figure 2, a received signal is divided into three components: one signal corresponding to the dedicated channel, one signal corresponding to the common pilot for the first antenna and one signal corresponding to the common pilot for the second antenna. This division is accomplished by multipliers 32, 34 and 36 and accumulators 38, 40 and 42. A more hardware efficient way is shown in Figure 3, which employs multiplexer 44 and demultiplexer 46 to alternately select between the signals for the different transmit antennae. This time multiplexed processing saves hardware at the expense of 3dB loss of precision for each channel, which is acceptable given

the low bit rate, low precision channel reporting used by the mobile to report these channel estimates to the BS.

5 The channel estimate the mobile attains is coded into some low bit representation. The bit rates mentioned in the above cited references are 1,2 or 4 bits (1b phase, 2b phase, or 3b phase + 1b amplitude). So, for a forward channel vector  $\mathbf{c}$ , the mobile generates the estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ , which is then quantized to give the feedback estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ .

10 Note that the MS channel estimation hardware of Figure 2 or Figure 3 can be used for an arbitrarily large number of Tx antennae, as long as there are unique pilot codes for each antenna and MS knows all of these codes.

15 Finally, the proposed systems have the MS report the channel estimate based on 1 “path”. In the presence of resolvable multi-path due to delayed reflections of the transmitted waveform, particularly for CDMA, there may be more than one path usable to the MS. In order to report the channel for N such paths, the MS to BS feedback rate would have to increase N-fold, and the number of such paths would somehow have to be communicated to the BS. This is not practical, and 20 instead the MS reports the channel estimate for only the strongest path. This discards some useful channel characteristics which could further increase performance under these circumstances.

The algorithm employed by the BS to utilize the received channel information would most likely be a simple matched transmission weighting. That is, the forward weights chosen would be the conjugate of the forward vector channel, so that the weights are

5  $\mathbf{w} = \hat{\mathbf{c}}^*$

This formulation maximizes the signal power to the mobile without regard to the locations of the other mobiles. It does not steer nulls to the other mobiles. The channel estimate from a given mobile could be used to determine transmission nulls of the other mobiles, but the coarseness of the channel estimate (no more than 4 bits) makes this ineffective.

10  
15  
20  
25  
30  
35  
40  
45  
50  
55  
60  
65  
70  
75  
80  
85  
90  
95  
100  
105  
110  
115  
120  
125  
130  
135  
140  
145  
150  
155  
160  
165  
170  
175  
180  
185  
190  
195  
200  
205  
210  
215  
220  
225  
230  
235  
240  
245  
250  
255  
260  
265  
270  
275  
280  
285  
290  
295  
300  
305  
310  
315  
320  
325  
330  
335  
340  
345  
350  
355  
360  
365  
370  
375  
380  
385  
390  
395  
400  
405  
410  
415  
420  
425  
430  
435  
440  
445  
450  
455  
460  
465  
470  
475  
480  
485  
490  
495  
500  
505  
510  
515  
520  
525  
530  
535  
540  
545  
550  
555  
560  
565  
570  
575  
580  
585  
590  
595  
600  
605  
610  
615  
620  
625  
630  
635  
640  
645  
650  
655  
660  
665  
670  
675  
680  
685  
690  
695  
700  
705  
710  
715  
720  
725  
730  
735  
740  
745  
750  
755  
760  
765  
770  
775  
780  
785  
790  
795  
800  
805  
810  
815  
820  
825  
830  
835  
840  
845  
850  
855  
860  
865  
870  
875  
880  
885  
890  
895  
900  
905  
910  
915  
920  
925  
930  
935  
940  
945  
950  
955  
960  
965  
970  
975  
980  
985  
990  
995  
1000  
1005  
1010  
1015  
1020  
1025  
1030  
1035  
1040  
1045  
1050  
1055  
1060  
1065  
1070  
1075  
1080  
1085  
1090  
1095  
1100  
1105  
1110  
1115  
1120  
1125  
1130  
1135  
1140  
1145  
1150  
1155  
1160  
1165  
1170  
1175  
1180  
1185  
1190  
1195  
1200  
1205  
1210  
1215  
1220  
1225  
1230  
1235  
1240  
1245  
1250  
1255  
1260  
1265  
1270  
1275  
1280  
1285  
1290  
1295  
1300  
1305  
1310  
1315  
1320  
1325  
1330  
1335  
1340  
1345  
1350  
1355  
1360  
1365  
1370  
1375  
1380  
1385  
1390  
1395  
1400  
1405  
1410  
1415  
1420  
1425  
1430  
1435  
1440  
1445  
1450  
1455  
1460  
1465  
1470  
1475  
1480  
1485  
1490  
1495  
1500  
1505  
1510  
1515  
1520  
1525  
1530  
1535  
1540  
1545  
1550  
1555  
1560  
1565  
1570  
1575  
1580  
1585  
1590  
1595  
1600  
1605  
1610  
1615  
1620  
1625  
1630  
1635  
1640  
1645  
1650  
1655  
1660  
1665  
1670  
1675  
1680  
1685  
1690  
1695  
1700  
1705  
1710  
1715  
1720  
1725  
1730  
1735  
1740  
1745  
1750  
1755  
1760  
1765  
1770  
1775  
1780  
1785  
1790  
1795  
1800  
1805  
1810  
1815  
1820  
1825  
1830  
1835  
1840  
1845  
1850  
1855  
1860  
1865  
1870  
1875  
1880  
1885  
1890  
1895  
1900  
1905  
1910  
1915  
1920  
1925  
1930  
1935  
1940  
1945  
1950  
1955  
1960  
1965  
1970  
1975  
1980  
1985  
1990  
1995  
2000  
2005  
2010  
2015  
2020  
2025  
2030  
2035  
2040  
2045  
2050  
2055  
2060  
2065  
2070  
2075  
2080  
2085  
2090  
2095  
2100  
2105  
2110  
2115  
2120  
2125  
2130  
2135  
2140  
2145  
2150  
2155  
2160  
2165  
2170  
2175  
2180  
2185  
2190  
2195  
2200  
2205  
2210  
2215  
2220  
2225  
2230  
2235  
2240  
2245  
2250  
2255  
2260  
2265  
2270  
2275  
2280  
2285  
2290  
2295  
2300  
2305  
2310  
2315  
2320  
2325  
2330  
2335  
2340  
2345  
2350  
2355  
2360  
2365  
2370  
2375  
2380  
2385  
2390  
2395  
2400  
2405  
2410  
2415  
2420  
2425  
2430  
2435  
2440  
2445  
2450  
2455  
2460  
2465  
2470  
2475  
2480  
2485  
2490  
2495  
2500  
2505  
2510  
2515  
2520  
2525  
2530  
2535  
2540  
2545  
2550  
2555  
2560  
2565  
2570  
2575  
2580  
2585  
2590  
2595  
2600  
2605  
2610  
2615  
2620  
2625  
2630  
2635  
2640  
2645  
2650  
2655  
2660  
2665  
2670  
2675  
2680  
2685  
2690  
2695  
2700  
2705  
2710  
2715  
2720  
2725  
2730  
2735  
2740  
2745  
2750  
2755  
2760  
2765  
2770  
2775  
2780  
2785  
2790  
2795  
2800  
2805  
2810  
2815  
2820  
2825  
2830  
2835  
2840  
2845  
2850  
2855  
2860  
2865  
2870  
2875  
2880  
2885  
2890  
2895  
2900  
2905  
2910  
2915  
2920  
2925  
2930  
2935  
2940  
2945  
2950  
2955  
2960  
2965  
2970  
2975  
2980  
2985  
2990  
2995  
3000  
3005  
3010  
3015  
3020  
3025  
3030  
3035  
3040  
3045  
3050  
3055  
3060  
3065  
3070  
3075  
3080  
3085  
3090  
3095  
3100  
3105  
3110  
3115  
3120  
3125  
3130  
3135  
3140  
3145  
3150  
3155  
3160  
3165  
3170  
3175  
3180  
3185  
3190  
3195  
3200  
3205  
3210  
3215  
3220  
3225  
3230  
3235  
3240  
3245  
3250  
3255  
3260  
3265  
3270  
3275  
3280  
3285  
3290  
3295  
3300  
3305  
3310  
3315  
3320  
3325  
3330  
3335  
3340  
3345  
3350  
3355  
3360  
3365  
3370  
3375  
3380  
3385  
3390  
3395  
3400  
3405  
3410  
3415  
3420  
3425  
3430  
3435  
3440  
3445  
3450  
3455  
3460  
3465  
3470  
3475  
3480  
3485  
3490  
3495  
3500  
3505  
3510  
3515  
3520  
3525  
3530  
3535  
3540  
3545  
3550  
3555  
3560  
3565  
3570  
3575  
3580  
3585  
3590  
3595  
3600  
3605  
3610  
3615  
3620  
3625  
3630  
3635  
3640  
3645  
3650  
3655  
3660  
3665  
3670  
3675  
3680  
3685  
3690  
3695  
3700  
3705  
3710  
3715  
3720  
3725  
3730  
3735  
3740  
3745  
3750  
3755  
3760  
3765  
3770  
3775  
3780  
3785  
3790  
3795  
3800  
3805  
3810  
3815  
3820  
3825  
3830  
3835  
3840  
3845  
3850  
3855  
3860  
3865  
3870  
3875  
3880  
3885  
3890  
3895  
3900  
3905  
3910  
3915  
3920  
3925  
3930  
3935  
3940  
3945  
3950  
3955  
3960  
3965  
3970  
3975  
3980  
3985  
3990  
3995  
4000  
4005  
4010  
4015  
4020  
4025  
4030  
4035  
4040  
4045  
4050  
4055  
4060  
4065  
4070  
4075  
4080  
4085  
4090  
4095  
4100  
4105  
4110  
4115  
4120  
4125  
4130  
4135  
4140  
4145  
4150  
4155  
4160  
4165  
4170  
4175  
4180  
4185  
4190  
4195  
4200  
4205  
4210  
4215  
4220  
4225  
4230  
4235  
4240  
4245  
4250  
4255  
4260  
4265  
4270  
4275  
4280  
4285  
4290  
4295  
4300  
4305  
4310  
4315  
4320  
4325  
4330  
4335  
4340  
4345  
4350  
4355  
4360  
4365  
4370  
4375  
4380  
4385  
4390  
4395  
4400  
4405  
4410  
4415  
4420  
4425  
4430  
4435  
4440  
4445  
4450  
4455  
4460  
4465  
4470  
4475  
4480  
4485  
4490  
4495  
4500  
4505  
4510  
4515  
4520  
4525  
4530  
4535  
4540  
4545  
4550  
4555  
4560  
4565  
4570  
4575  
4580  
4585  
4590  
4595  
4600  
4605  
4610  
4615  
4620  
4625  
4630  
4635  
4640  
4645  
4650  
4655  
4660  
4665  
4670  
4675  
4680  
4685  
4690  
4695  
4700  
4705  
4710  
4715  
4720  
4725  
4730  
4735  
4740  
4745  
4750  
4755  
4760  
4765  
4770  
4775  
4780  
4785  
4790  
4795  
4800  
4805  
4810  
4815  
4820  
4825  
4830  
4835  
4840  
4845  
4850  
4855  
4860  
4865  
4870  
4875  
4880  
4885  
4890  
4895  
4900  
4905  
4910  
4915  
4920  
4925  
4930  
4935  
4940  
4945  
4950  
4955  
4960  
4965  
4970  
4975  
4980  
4985  
4990  
4995  
5000  
5005  
5010  
5015  
5020  
5025  
5030  
5035  
5040  
5045  
5050  
5055  
5060  
5065  
5070  
5075  
5080  
5085  
5090  
5095  
5100  
5105  
5110  
5115  
5120  
5125  
5130  
5135  
5140  
5145  
5150  
5155  
5160  
5165  
5170  
5175  
5180  
5185  
5190  
5195  
5200  
5205  
5210  
5215  
5220  
5225  
5230  
5235  
5240  
5245  
5250  
5255  
5260  
5265  
5270  
5275  
5280  
5285  
5290  
5295  
5300  
5305  
5310  
5315  
5320  
5325  
5330  
5335  
5340  
5345  
5350  
5355  
5360  
5365  
5370  
5375  
5380  
5385  
5390  
5395  
5400  
5405  
5410  
5415  
5420  
5425  
5430  
5435  
5440  
5445  
5450  
5455  
5460  
5465  
5470  
5475  
5480  
5485  
5490  
5495  
5500  
5505  
5510  
5515  
5520  
5525  
5530  
5535  
5540  
5545  
5550  
5555  
5560  
5565  
5570  
5575  
5580  
5585  
5590  
5595  
5600  
5605  
5610  
5615  
5620  
5625  
5630  
5635  
5640  
5645  
5650  
5655  
5660  
5665  
5670  
5675  
5680  
5685  
5690  
5695  
5700  
5705  
5710  
5715  
5720  
5725  
5730  
5735  
5740  
5745  
5750  
5755  
5760  
5765  
5770  
5775  
5780  
5785  
5790  
5795  
5800  
5805  
5810  
5815  
5820  
5825  
5830  
5835  
5840  
5845  
5850  
5855  
5860  
5865  
5870  
5875  
5880  
5885  
5890  
5895  
5900  
5905  
5910  
5915  
5920  
5925  
5930  
5935  
5940  
5945  
5950  
5955  
5960  
5965  
5970  
5975  
5980  
5985  
5990  
5995  
6000  
6005  
6010  
6015  
6020  
6025  
6030  
6035  
6040  
6045  
6050  
6055  
6060  
6065  
6070  
6075  
6080  
6085  
6090  
6095  
6100  
6105  
6110  
6115  
6120  
6125  
6130  
6135  
6140  
6145  
6150  
6155  
6160  
6165  
6170  
6175  
6180  
6185  
6190  
6195  
6200  
6205  
6210  
6215  
6220  
6225  
6230  
6235  
6240  
6245  
6250  
6255  
6260  
6265  
6270  
6275  
6280  
6285  
6290  
6295  
6300  
6305  
6310  
6315  
6320  
6325  
6330  
6335  
6340  
6345  
6350  
6355  
6360  
6365  
6370  
6375  
6380  
6385  
6390  
6395  
6400  
6405  
6410  
6415  
6420  
6425  
6430  
6435  
6440  
6445  
6450  
6455  
6460  
6465  
6470  
6475  
6480  
6485  
6490  
6495  
6500  
6505  
6510  
6515  
6520  
6525  
6530  
6535  
6540  
6545  
6550  
6555  
6560  
6565  
6570  
6575  
6580  
6585  
6590  
6595  
6600  
6605  
6610  
6615  
6620  
6625  
6630  
6635  
6640  
6645  
6650  
6655  
6660  
6665  
6670  
6675  
6680  
6685  
6690  
6695  
6700  
6705  
6710  
6715  
6720  
6725  
6730  
6735  
6740  
6745  
6750  
6755  
6760  
6765  
6770  
6775  
6780  
6785  
6790  
6795  
6800  
6805  
6810  
6815  
6820  
6825  
6830  
6835  
6840  
6845  
6850  
6855  
6860  
6865  
6870  
6875  
6880  
6885  
6890  
6895  
6900  
6905  
6910  
6915  
6920  
6925  
6930  
6935  
6940  
6945  
6950  
6955  
6960  
6965  
6970  
6975  
6980  
6985  
6990  
6995  
7000  
7005  
7010  
7015  
7020  
7025  
7030  
7035  
7040  
7045  
7050  
7055  
7060  
7065  
7070  
7075  
7080  
7085  
7090  
7095  
7100  
7105  
7110  
7115  
7120  
7125  
7130  
7135  
7140  
7145  
7150  
7155  
7160  
7165  
7170  
7175  
7180  
7185  
7190  
7195  
7200  
7205  
7210  
7215  
7220  
7225  
7230  
7235  
7240  
7245  
7250  
7255  
7260  
7265  
7270  
7275  
7280  
7285  
7290  
7295  
7300  
7305  
7310  
7315  
7320  
7325  
7330  
7335  
7340  
7345  
7350  
7355  
7360  
7365  
7370  
7375  
7380  
7385  
7390  
7395  
7400  
7405  
7410  
7415  
7420  
7425  
7430  
7435  
7440  
7445  
7450  
7455  
7460  
7465  
7470  
7475  
7480  
7485  
7490  
7495  
7500  
7505  
7510  
7515  
7520  
7525  
7530  
7535  
7540  
7545  
7550  
7555  
7560  
7565  
7570  
7575  
7580  
7585  
7590  
7595  
7600  
7605  
7610  
7615  
7620  
7625  
7630  
7635  
7640  
7645  
7650  
7655  
7660  
7665  
7670  
7675  
7680  
7685  
7690  
7695  
7700  
7705  
7710  
7715  
7720  
7725  
7730  
7735  
7740  
7745  
7750  
7755  
7760  
7765  
7770  
7775  
7780  
7785  
7790  
7795  
7800  
7805  
7810  
7815  
7820  
7825  
7830  
7835  
7840  
7845  
7850  
7855  
7860  
7865  
7870  
7875  
7880  
7885  
7890  
7895  
7900  
7905  
7910  
7915  
7920  
7925  
7930  
7935  
7940  
7945  
7950  
7955  
7960  
7965  
7970  
7975  
7980  
7985  
7990  
7995  
8000  
8005  
8010  
8015  
8020  
8025  
8030  
8035  
8040  
8045  
8050  
8055  
8060  
8065  
8070  
8075  
8080  
8085  
8090  
8095  
8100  
8105  
8110  
8115  
8120  
8125  
8130  
8135  
8140  
8145  
8150  
8155  
8160  
8165  
8170  
8175  
8180  
8185  
8190  
8195  
8200  
8205  
8210  
8215  
8220  
8225  
8230  
8235  
8240  
8245  
8250  
8255  
8260  
8265  
8270  
8275  
8280  
8285  
8290  
8295  
8300  
8305  
8310  
8315  
8320  
8325  
8330  
8335  
8340  
8345  
8350  
8355  
8360  
8365  
8370  
8375  
8380  
8385  
8390  
8395  
8400  
8405  
8410  
8415  
8420  
8425  
8430  
8435  
8440  
8445  
8450  
8455  
8460  
8465  
8470  
8475  
8480  
8485  
8490  
8495  
8500  
8505  
8510  
8515  
8520  
8525  
8530  
8535  
8540  
8545  
8550  
8555  
8560  
8565  
8570  
8575  
8580  
8585  
8590  
8595  
8600  
8605  
8610  
8615  
8620  
8625  
8630  
8635  
8640  
8645  
8650  
8655  
8660  
8665  
8670  
8675  
8680  
8685  
8690  
8695  
8700  
8705  
8710  
8715  
8720  
8725  
8730  
8735  
8740  
8745  
8750  
8755  
8760  
8765  
8770  
8775  
8780  
8785  
8790  
8795  
8800  
8805  
8810  
8815  
8820  
8825  
8830  
8835  
8840  
8845  
8850  
8855  
8860  
8865  
8870  
8875  
8880  
8885  
8890  
8895  
8900  
8905  
8910  
8915  
8920  
8925  
8930  
8935  
8940  
8945  
8950  
8955  
8960  
8965  
8970  
8975  
8980  
8985  
8990  
8995  
9000  
9005  
9010  
9015  
9020  
9025  
9030  
9035  
9040  
9045  
9050  
9055  
9060  
9065  
9070  
9075  
9080  
9085  
9090  
9095  
9100  
9105  
9110  
9115  
9120  
9125  
9130  
9135  
9140  
9145  
9150  
9155  
9160  
9165  
9170  
9175  
9180  
9185  
9190  
9195  
9200  
9205  
9210  
9215  
9220  
9225  
9230  
9235  
9240  
9245  
9250  
9255  
9260  
9265  
9270  
9275  
9280  
9285  
9290  
9295  
9300  
9305  
9310  
9315  
9320  
9325  
9330  
9335  
9340  
9345  
9350  
9355  
9360  
9365  
9370  
9375  
9380  
9385  
9390  
9395  
9400  
9405  
9410  
9415  
9420  
9425  
9430  
9435  
9440  
9445  
9450  
9455  
9

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to the present invention, a transmitter includes hardware and/or software for adaptively updating weights for a plurality of antennae. In particular, according to the present invention, the transmitter generates a pair of test weight vectors, each vector comprised of a plurality of complex entries, with each entry corresponding to a different one of a plurality of antennae. Preferably, the first

5

complex weight vector is equal to  $\frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}\|}$  and the second complex weight

vector is equal to  $\frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}\|}$ , where  $\mathbf{v}$  is a test perturbation vector comprised of a

plurality of complex entries, with each entry corresponding to a different one of a plurality of antennae,  $\beta$  is an algorithm constant and  $\mathbf{w}_{base}$  is a vector that is updated based on feedback received from a receiver, as will be further described below. The first and second complex weight vectors are applied to a dedicated pilot signal during alternate time intervals. During each time interval, the average of the first and second complex weight vectors is applied to the data traffic transmitted by the transmitter.

0  
10  
20  
30  
40  
50  
60  
70  
80  
90  
100  
110  
120  
130  
140  
150  
160  
170  
180  
190  
200

A receiver (e.g. a mobile station) alternately receives the pilot signal as multiplied by the first and second weight vectors as described above. The mobile determines which of the weighted pilot signals resulted in a stronger signal received at the mobile and, based upon this determination, transmits feedback. The transmitter receives the feedback and updates the first and second weights accordingly. In particular, if the first weight resulted in a stronger signal,  $\mathbf{w}_{base}$  is

updated to become  $\frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}\|}$  (the previous first weight) whereas if the second weight resulted in a stronger signal,  $\mathbf{w}$  is updated to become  $\frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}\|}$  (the previous second weight). The above described process is repeated with the new  $\mathbf{w}_{base}$ . New test vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  are generated and applied after each MS channel measurement is made.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other objects and advantages of the invention will become apparent upon reading the following detailed description and upon reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of one type of a conventional two antenna transmitter that employs antenna weighting derived from receiver feedback

Figure 2 is a block diagram of one type of conventional receiver that estimates the channel for each transmit antenna individually.

Figure 3 is a block diagram of an alternate type of conventional receiver that estimates the channel for each transmit antenna individually.

Figure 4 is a block diagram of an embodiment of a transmitter constructed according to the present invention.

Figure 5 is a block diagram of an embodiment of a receiver constructed according to the present invention.

Figure 6 is a block diagram of a preferred embodiment of a receiver constructed according to the present invention.

Figure 7 is a flow chart that outlines pertinent features of the operation of the transmitter shown in Figure 4.

5 Figure 8 is a flow chart that outlines pertinent features of the operation of the receiver shown in Figure 5.

Figure 9 is a flow chart that outlines pertinent features of the operation of the receiver shown in Figure 6.

10 Figure 10 is a timing diagram that shows a preferred timeline for weight adjustment, channel measurement and feedback.

15 While the invention is susceptible to various modifications and alternative forms, specific embodiments thereof are shown by way of example in the drawings and will herein be described in detail. It should be understood, however, that the drawings and detailed description thereto are not intended to limit the invention to the particular form disclosed, but on the contrary, the intention is to cover all modifications, equivalents and alternatives falling within the spirit and scope of the present invention as defined by the appended claims.

20 Figures showing transmission and receive systems omit portions of the radio for convenience (quadrature modulation/demodulation). Those portions of the radio not shown are not relevant to the invention. Signals are represented as complex baseband equivalents, and all arithmetic is complex.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

### Nomenclature

AA	adaptive antenna, more generally adaptive antenna algorithm
BS	base station, fixed station which communicates with multiple mobile stations and provides their gateway to the fixed network.
CDMA	code division multiple access
DS-CDMA	direct sequence CDMA
forward link	radio link from the transmitting base station to the receiving mobile station
HW	hardware, referring to the fixed digital logic portion of a modem as opposed to SW
MS	mobile station, a user of the cellular network, e.g. a cell phone or a wireless data terminal
pilot	a known signal or “training sequence” transmitted so that the receiver may use it to estimate the channel response.
reverse link	radio link from the transmitting mobile station to the receiving base station
Rx	receive
SW	software, typically to distinguish the (modifiable) SW portion of a modem from the HW
Tx	transmit

Embodiment

For clarity of representation, certain non-essential assumptions are made for this patent application. First, the system described is a DS-CDMA (Direct Sequence, Code Division Multiple Access) system using “pilots” for coherent demodulation. In fact, most modern digital wireless systems include a pilot (sometimes known as a “training sequence” when time multiplexed rather than code multiplexed) used for channel estimation, and any such system could use this invention.

Second, the below described adaptive array transmitter is considered to be a base station and the receiver is a mobile station. This applies to cellular communications. In fact, the mobile station may also have a transmit array operating in the same manner. Also, the system may not be cellular at all, but peer to peer communication such as Bluetooth.

The invention as described can be applied without regard to how many antennae the transmitting BS is using, and in particular the MS does not need to know how many antennae are being used.

Figure 4 is a block diagram of a BS transmitter 50 according to a possible embodiment of the present invention. For ease of illustration, two antennae, first antenna 52 and second antenna 54 are shown but it will be appreciated that the present invention may easily be scaled to any number of antennae. Also for ease of

illustration, BS hardware corresponding to one mobile unit is shown but the transmitter 50 will typically have analogous hardware for a number of other users.

As shown, the transmitter 50 comprises a plurality of multipliers 56, 58, 60, 62, 64 and 66, summers 72 and 74 and multiplexers 68 and 70. Multipliers 64 and 66 multiply a dedicated pilot signal and a forward traffic signal, respectively, with corresponding gains (real valued). Multipliers 60 and 62 multiply the forward traffic signal by different weights from the weight vector  $w$ ,  $w_0$  and  $w_1$ , where  $w_0$  is the weight for the first antenna 52 and  $w_1$  is the weight for the second antenna 54. The weight values will be discussed below.  $w_{0,odd}$  and  $w_{0,even}$ , weights from the weight vectors  $w_{odd}$  and  $w_{even}$  respectively, are provided as inputs to the multiplexor 68, which alternates between them. Similarly,  $w_{1,odd}$  and  $w_{1,even}$  weights are provided as inputs to the multiplexer 70, which alternates between them. The weighted traffic and pilot signals are summed by the summers 72 and 74 and transmitted by the first antenna 52 and the second antenna 54 respectively. The mathematical representation of the waveform transmitted by the antennae 52 and 54 will be described below.

Figure 5 is a block diagram of a MS receiver 80 according to a possible embodiment of the present invention which shows the principle of the feedback decision made by the MS. The receiver 80 comprises an antenna 82, a multiplier 84, and an accumulator 86. The receiver 80 further comprises a demultiplexer 88, accumulators 90 and 92 and a summer 94, which are preferably implemented in software. Signals received over the antenna 82 are multiplied by a pilot

despreading code, as is well known in DS-CDMA systems, and the result passed to accumulator 86, which accumulates a symbol from the chips it receives. “Even” and “odd” channel estimates are alternately accumulated in accumulators 90 and 92. The even estimate and odd estimates (i.e. the estimates for the alternating even and odd time slots) are summed by summer 94 to generate a dedicated channel estimate (for coherent demodulation). Also, in decision block 94 the power of the even and odd estimates are compared and the value of a feedback bit is set depending upon which of the two estimates indicates a greater power. In other words, the receiver 80 determines the power of the received pilot in the odd and even time slots, and determines whether the power in the odd or the even slot was greater.

Figure 6 is a block diagram of a preferred embodiment of a receiver (e.g. an MS) 229 constructed according to this invention. This figure illustrates the efficient use of the pilot format to both aid antennae adaptation and to demodulate incoming data. The pilot is used to extract a preliminary channel estimate  $\hat{c}$  using the multiplier 202 and the accumulator 204. A sliding window filter 212 maintains the data channel estimate  $\hat{c}$ . The preliminary data symbol estimates are generated by multiplying the data despreading sequence with the received signal with multiplier 216 and accumulating the result in accumulator 218. These preliminary symbol estimates are then delayed in a deskew buffer 219 and then the data channel estimate  $\hat{c}$  is conjugated in conjugation unit 214 and multiplied with the preliminary data symbol estimates with multiplier 220 to generate the final data symbol estimates. A channel difference estimate is simultaneously maintained

through a separate data path. The preliminary channel estimate  $\hat{c}$  is inverted for odd time and non-inverted for even time slots through the selection of multiplexer 206 and application of  $\pm 1$  in multiplier 207, with this result accumulated in accumulator 208 to generate  $\hat{c}_{diff}$ . When the measurement interval is complete, even and odd channel estimates are extracted from  $\hat{c}_{diff}$  and  $\hat{c}$  using adders 210 and 224. The more powerful channel estimate is then selected in decision block 228.

Note that in the case of resolvable multipath the mobile will be tracking several versions of the received pilot, making channel estimates for each one. In order to properly determine which Tx weight vector gives the best receive power, the mobile combines the channel estimate powers from each path prior to doing the decision comparison. Similarly, if the MS 229 has multiple antennae, the decision would be based on the sum of channel estimate powers over all antennae.

Note also that the same method can be applied to soft handoff, where multiple basestations may be transmitting the same data to the mobile with individually adjusted TxA weight vectors. That is, the processing of the mobile can be applied to each BS individually, and the odd/even power summations used to determine the feedback bit would be the summation of the powers for each path and for each BS. All BS's would receive the same feedback bit and adjust accordingly. This is almost effectively considering the weight vector to be a concatenation of the individual BS weight vectors, but it must be noted that each BS would individually normalize its weight vector. The described method provides

a very simple manner for the TxAA to be distributed across several BS's with no increase in MS complexity.

The following describes in greater detail the operation of the transmitter 50 and receiver 229 described above.

5 Define the following

n	time index, at the nyquist rate (chip rate for DS-CDMA)
m	time index at decimated (slot) rate, $m = \lfloor n / N \rfloor$
K	number of users to which the BS is transmitting
N	duration of the pilot even/odd banking slot
M	number of slots between weight updates
$u(n)$	transmitted vector at time n, $[u]_i$ is the $i^{\text{th}}$ antenna.
$w(n)$	antenna complex weights for the specific MS described, data channel
$w_{\text{even}}(n)$	antenna complex weights for the specific MS described, even pilot channel
$w_{\text{odd}}(n)$	antenna complex weights for the specific MS described, odd pilot channel
x(n)	information bearing modulated signal for the specific MS described
p(n)	dedicated pilot for the specific MS described
$g_{\text{pilot/traffic}}$	gain for the pilot/traffic channel for the specific MS described

The complex baseband representation of the transmitted waveform at the antenna, characterizing the amplitude and phase of the modulated radio carrier

transmitted by the antenna (such complex baseband representations are well known, see John Proakis, *Digital Communications*, 1995, Third Edition, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, NY), is given as follows. The equation adds a subscript  $k$  differentiating the signals for the plural mobiles.

5

$$\mathbf{u}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \left( g_{k, \text{traffic}} \mathbf{w}_k(n) x_k(n) + g_{k, \text{pilot}} (\lfloor n/N \rfloor \bmod 2 = 0) \mathbf{w}_{k, \text{even}}(n) + (\lfloor n/N \rfloor \bmod 2 = 1) \mathbf{w}_{k, \text{odd}}(n) \right) p_k(n)$$

For conciseness of presentation, the following text focuses on the present invention's method from the point of view of a specific mobile with an arbitrary index  $k$ , and the subscript  $k$  is omitted.

In particular, the odd transmit weight is applied to the dedicated pilot on odd time slots and vice versa for the even weights. To ensure proper coherent demodulation, the even/odd weights are preferably constrained by the relation:

$$\mathbf{w}(n) = \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\text{even}}(n) + \mathbf{w}_{\text{odd}}(n)}{2}$$

It is worth noting that when the odd and even test weights are generated by the perturbation technique described below, then it is valid to consider that

$$\mathbf{w}_{\text{base}}(n) \cong \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\text{even}}(n) + \mathbf{w}_{\text{odd}}(n)}{2}$$

and hence one may in that case use  $\mathbf{w}_{base}(n)$  as the weight vector applied to the data channel.

Figure 7 is a flow chart that outlines pertinent features of the operation of the transmitter 50. In block 100, a vector  $\mathbf{w}_{base}$  is initialized to an arbitrary vector with a unit norm. In block 102, a new test perturbation vector  $\mathbf{v}(n)$  is generated. Each vector entry is a complex number and corresponds to one of the antennae 52 or 54; each such entry therefore corresponds to a test weight to be applied to the transmission of the pilot signal over a particular antenna. The test perturbation vector is preferably determined in a manner such that its entries appear mutually independent. As a point of reference, it is assumed that the expected value (or long term average over many realizations) of the magnitude squared of each entry of  $\mathbf{v}$  is 2, so that  $E(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2) = 2 \cdot (\text{number of antennae})$ . In this way, the amplitude of the applied perturbation is encompassed in the algorithm parameter  $\beta$ , as described below. For example,  $\mathbf{v}(n)$  could equal  $[\pm 1 \pm j, \dots \pm 1 \pm j]^T$  ( $\mathbf{v}(n)$  would have just two entries for the example transmitter of Figure 4), where the +'s and -'s for the entries are randomly determined. However, the preferred  $\mathbf{v}(n)$  is a complex Gaussian vector of uncorrelated entries with variance of 2.

In block 102 the current value of  $\mathbf{v}$  is stored as  $\mathbf{v}_{old}$  and a new value is determined for  $\mathbf{v}$ . The old value is stored so that when the feedback is received at a later time (block 116) the transmitter 50 knows which perturbation vector the feedback corresponded to and can adapt accordingly. In block 104, even and odd

weights and data channel weights are updated based on the new value for  $\mathbf{v}$ . This is summarized as follows.

when beginning of test interval

$$\mathbf{v}_{old} \Leftarrow \mathbf{v}$$

$\mathbf{v} \Leftarrow$  normalized test perturbation vector, new value every NM time indices

$$\mathbf{w}_{even} \Leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}\|}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_{odd} \Leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}\|}$$

$$\mathbf{w} \Leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{even} + \mathbf{w}_{odd}}{2}$$

// note: the base weight vector is only updated when feedback is received

end

The normalization of the even/odd weight vectors is applied (the 2-norm is used) so that the total power transmitted in even and odd time slots is equal. This normalization prevents the MS 229 from simply selecting the weight vector with the larger transmit power. Rather, the MS 229 must select the weight vector which delivers the maximum power to the mobile for the given transmit power, which is determined by the traffic and pilot channel gains  $g_{traffic}$  and  $g_{pilot}$ .

15

In block 106, the weights determined in block 104 are applied to the pilot signal. In particular,  $\mathbf{w}_{even}$  is applied to the pilot signal during even time slots and  $\mathbf{w}_{odd}$  is applied to the pilot signal during odd time slots, using the multiplexers 68 and 70 as is shown in Figure 4. In addition,  $\mathbf{w}$  is applied to the traffic signal during both even and odd slots. The pilot signal and the traffic signal, as modified

according to block 104, are transmitted from the antennae 52 and 54. More precisely, a sinusoidal carrier wave is generated for each of the antennae 52 and 54. Each of these carrier waves is modulated by the traffic and pilot signals (modulating signals) as modified according to block 104. Looked at from another perspective, the weight  $w$  adjusts the amplitude and phase of the plurality of radio carrier signals as those carrier signals are modulated by the traffic and pilot signals (modulating signals).

In block 116, which is shown as disjoint from blocks 100-106 for reasons that will be described below, the transmitter 50 receives a feedback bit transmitted by the MS 229. This feedback bit is based on the decision from the MS 229, which indicates which of the even or odd channels resulted in a greater received signal power and determines the weight updates for transmitter 50. In block 118, the transmitter determines whether the even weight yields greater power by checking the value of the feedback bit. (0 is arbitrarily taken to mean the even channel is better). If so, control passes to block 120, which sets equal to  $w_{even}$ . (In broader terms,  $w_{base}$  is a function of  $w_{even}$  and  $w_{odd}$ . In the preferred embodiment, the function is an ‘or’ type function but more complex functions of weight vectors, including combinations thereof, are conceivable.) Otherwise, control passes to block 122, which sets  $w_{base}$  equal to  $w_{odd}$ . In block 124, the even and odd weights  $w_{even}$  and  $w_{odd}$  and the data weight  $w$  are updated based on the new  $w_{base}$ . This is summarized as follows

when feedback received

if (feedback==0, indicating even channel is better)

$$\mathbf{w}_{base} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}_{old}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{k,base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}_{old}\|}$$

else

$$5 \quad \mathbf{w}_{base} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}_{old}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{k,base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}_{old}\|}$$

end

$$\mathbf{w}_{even} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}\|}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_{odd} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}\|}$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \frac{\mathbf{w}_{even} + \mathbf{w}_{odd}}{2}$$

end

As mentioned above, block 116 (and related blocks) are shown as disjoint from blocks 100-106. This is because the processes represented by these two sets of blocks are independent of one another, as can be seen from Figure 10, a timing diagram that shows a possible timeline for weight adjustment and channel measurement. Waveform 130 shows the timing of updates to  $\mathbf{w}_{base}$ , which are performed in blocks 120 and 122. Waveform 132 shows updates to  $\mathbf{v}$ , which are performed in block 102. Waveforms 134 and 136 show updates to  $\mathbf{w}_{even}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{odd}$ , which are performed in blocks 104 and 124. Waveform 138 shows updates to the data channel weight vector  $\mathbf{w}$ , which are performed in blocks 104 and 124.

As shown by waveform 132, the test vector  $\mathbf{v}$  (step 102) is generated periodically and independently of feedback received from the MS 229. The updates to  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{even}}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{odd}}$  caused by a  $\mathbf{v}$  are indicated by solid vertical lines in the Figure while the updates to  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{even}}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{odd}}$  caused by feedback received from the MS 229 are indicated by the dashed vertical lines in the Figure.

The parameter  $\beta$  is an algorithm constant which is to be selected to maximize performance. A large  $\beta$  allows the weight vector to adapt more quickly, but also introduces additional noise on the weight vector applied as a larger adjustment is made. For example, when the weights are settled near their optimal value, each weight is still constantly adjusted by  $\pm\beta\mathbf{v}$ . Also,  $\beta$  determines the size of the test perturbation applied to the weight vector, and hence will effect both the ability of the MS to correctly determine which test weight vector provides the larger power, and the amount of interference seen by other MS's. A larger  $\beta$  will cause the difference between the two test weight vectors to be larger, and hence it is more likely that this difference will exceed any noise contributions to the measurement by the receiver 80 or receiver 229. A larger  $\beta$  also causes more "splatter", wherein the antenna pilot transmission test weights are perturbed more from the base weights, which are adjusted towards an optimal value, and hence the odd/even pilots cause more interference to other MS's than the data weight. As an enhancement to the invention, it is possible to individually tune these two effects (i.e. the adaptation rate vs. weight noise tradeoff, and the noise immunity vs. splatter tradeoff) with a  $\beta_1$  and a  $\beta_2$ , as is described later.

Figure 8 is a flow chart that outlines pertinent features of the operation of the receiver of Figure 5. In block 172 the even channel estimate is accumulated, which continues during the entire even time slot according to the decision of block 174. At the beginning of the odd time slot, the odd channel estimate is accumulated in block 176, which continues during the entire odd time slot according to the decision of block 178. The even/odd channel accumulations continue until it is determined by block 180 that the measurement period is complete. When the measurement period is determined to be completed, the feedback decision is calculated and the resultant bit stored for transmission at the appropriate time in block 182. The odd and even channel estimates are then cleared in block 190 and the process is started over for the new measurement period by returning to block 172. At the appropriate time, which is independent of the timing of the channel estimation procedure just described, the MS starts transmission of the feedback bit, which is shown in blocks 184, 186, and 188.

Figure 9 is a flow chart which outlines the pertinent features of the operation of the receiver of the preferred embodiment of Figure 6. In block 232 the sliding window data channel estimate is updated. The duration of the sliding window is shown as M slots, covering the duration of a measurement interval, as is preferred. The data channel estimate is applied to the preliminary symbol estimate in block 233. The state of the slot being processed is used in block 234 to determine the sign of the channel difference accumulation by adding the preliminary channel estimate in block 248 if it is an even slot, or subtracting the preliminary channel estimate in block 250 if it is an odd slot. Block 240 determines if the measurement interval is

complete, continuing the process by returning to block 232 if the interval is not complete. When the measurement period is determined to be completed by block 240, the feedback decision is calculated and the resultant bit stored for transmission at the appropriate time in block 238. The difference estimate is then cleared in block 236 and the process begins again by returning to block 232. At the appropriate time, which is independent of the timing of the channel estimation procedure just described, the MS 229 starts transmission of the feedback bit, which is shown in blocks 242, 244 and 246.

Figure 10 shows a time line of this process with certain parameters selected by way of example. The accumulations are of this example are over 12 time slots, the feedback bit is transmitted one time slot later, and the transmit weight update occurs as soon as the transmitter 50 receives it. In this case, the transmitter 50 updates the test perturbation vector  $v$  prior to receiving the update, so that the measurement of the new perturbation can begin. Since each update is fairly small, this mechanism allows for the maximization of the measurement time and the measurement of the new perturbation will be insignificantly modified by the overlap.

As previously described with reference to Figure 7, at the beginning of the each test period, the transmitter 50 determines a new perturbation vector  $v$  and applies it to the old  $w_{base}$ . As soon as the feedback arrives this same new  $v$  would be applied to the new  $w_{base}$ . This allows for maximizing the measurement interval without delaying the next test perturbation. Since the updates are relatively small,

the new perturbation will still give a valid measurement result even though it is applied to both the old and the new  $w_{base}$ .

The invention may be implemented for “soft handoff” systems. Soft handoff in DS-CDMA is an operational mode wherein multiple BS’s, each using a different spreading code, are transmitting the same data to a single MS. The reception at the mobile of these multiple signals benefits performance by providing diversity. According to an embodiment of the present invention, soft handoff may be implemented in the following manner. Each BS independently generates test weight vectors  $w_{odd}$  and  $w_{even}$  through the independent generation of test perturbation vectors  $v$ . Each BS transmits the pilot and data as previously described. The MS makes a single decision based on the summation of the channel estimate powers for all BS’s, and transmits a single feedback bit as previously described. Each BS independently implements the weight adaptation as previously described, without regard to the fact that it is in soft handoff. In summary, the MS uses the summation of all BS powers for the decision, and each BS behaves exactly as though it would even if not in soft handoff.

The application of the system described as this invention to the IS-95 standard and its derivative cdma2000 would most likely use  $N=64$  chips (chip rate 1.2288MHz, giving 52.083us time multiplexing) and 1.25ms decision intervals. The length of the total even/odd accumulation would be implementation specific in the mobile, but probably 8N, 12N, 16N or 24N (1/2 of that for each of the even/odd accumulations). Another possible alternative would be 0.625ms decision intervals,

in which case the total even/odd accumulation would probably not exceed 12N. These timings fit well into the existing specification as 64 chips is the original symbol duration from IS-95 and still a common time unit, and 1.25ms is a “power control group”, the timing upon which over the air closed loop power control updates take place, and is 1/16 of the most common frame length, 20ms. It will be appreciated that the present invention is not limited to any of the specific quantities mentioned above.

### Some Alternate Embodiments

It will be appreciated that it is possible to use more than 2 time slots and a corresponding number of test weight vectors, which may be generated from a corresponding number of test perturbation vectors. Also, more than 1 bit could be used as feedback, corresponding to the greater number of time slots.

The generation of the test perturbation  $\mathbf{v}$  can be done in many ways. Such generation should be pseudo-random so that over the long term the summation  $\Sigma \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^H$  approaches an equi-diagonal matrix. The random elements can be generated as some probability distribution other than the binary one described (e.g. uniform distribution, gaussian distribution). Rather than pseudo-random generation, the vector could be generated by cycling through some fixed sequence. For example, the sequence

$$[1 \ 0 \ 0 \ ..0]^T$$

$$[j \ 0 \ 0 \ ..0]^T$$

$$[0 \ 1 \ 0 \ ..0]^T$$

$$[0 \ j \ 0 \ ..0]^T$$

...

5

could be selected, to scan through each weight independently. This satisfies the requirement on the long term summation of  $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^H$ . This scheme, however, will typically result in slower operation.

10 The value of  $\beta$  could itself be adaptive. For fast varying channels, the value could be made larger, and for slower channels it could be made smaller. This would allow for some optimization of  $\beta$  with respect to channel variation rate.

15 The weight update can be further parameterized, allowing independent optimization of the adaptation rate vs. weight noise ( $\beta_1$ ) and the measurability in noise vs. splatter ( $\beta_2$ ) tradeoffs.  $\beta_1$  is then applied to the update of  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{base}}$ , while  $\beta_2$  is applied to the test weight vectors  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{odd}}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{even}}$ . This is done as follows.

when feedback received or new test interval

if (new test interval)

$\mathbf{v}_{old} \Leftarrow \mathbf{v}$

$\mathbf{v} \Leftarrow$  normalized test perturbation function

end

5

if (feedback received)

if (feedback==0, indicating the even channel was better)

$$\mathbf{w}_{base} \Leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta_1 \mathbf{v}_{old}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta_1 \mathbf{v}_{old}\|}$$

else

$$\mathbf{w}_{base} \Leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta_1 \mathbf{v}_{old}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta_1 \mathbf{v}_{old}\|}$$

end

end

$$\mathbf{w}_{even} \Leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta_2 \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} + \beta_2 \mathbf{v}\|}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_{odd} \Leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta_2 \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{base} - \beta_2 \mathbf{v}\|}$$

$$\mathbf{w} \Leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{w}_{even} + \mathbf{w}_{odd}}{2}$$

end

15

The parameters used in the time line of Figure 10 are exemplary only and are easily modified.

The exact nature of the feedback channel is not specified. It can be done as a puncturing onto the data channel as the power control bit is done in IS95, or onto the pilot channel as in cdma2000, or in some other manner.

5 The mobile can generate the channel estimations in a different manner than described.

The weight normalization described above is a total power normalization. Other normalizations can be chosen.

10 Alternate treatments of soft handoff can be used, though the previously described method is preferred. Rather than using only 1 feedback bit, a feedback bit may be included for each such basestation (or each such BS that has adaptive Tx antennae enabled) so that the mobile can send commands to each, or alternatively can time multiplex the feedback bits between the various BS's. The latter would result in a significant loss of performance to do the slower adaptation times.

20 The test weights  $w_{odd}$  and  $w_{even}$  are described as applied in separate time slots. It is possible to use other orthogonal modulation techniques such that they may be extracted. For example, rather than an odd and even time slot, odd and even orthogonal codes (perhaps from a set of walsh codes) could be used. This is not desirable for the preferred embodiment but may be desirable in other embodiments.

While the transmission medium is assumed to be the propagation of radio waves from transmitting antennae to a receiving antenna (or antennae), the invention is obviously extendable to the propagation of waves in other media. For example, the medium could be acoustic waves in a fluid or gas, from transmitting transducers to a receiving transducer (or transducers).

### Conclusion

Numerous other variations and modifications will become apparent to those skilled in the art once the above disclosure is fully appreciated. It is intended that the following claims be interpreted to embrace all such variations and modifications.

10  
15  
20  
25  
30  
35  
40  
45  
50  
55  
60  
65  
70  
75  
80  
85  
90  
95

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for transmitting signals from a plurality of antennae at a transmitting unit to a receiving unit, the method comprising the steps of:
  - 5 (a) generating a plurality of sinusoidal radio carriers corresponding to each of the plurality of antennae;
  - (b) modulating the plurality of sinusoidal radio carriers with a modulating signal;
  - (c) during a first time period, adjusting the amplitude and phase of the plurality of radio carrier signals corresponding to the plurality of antennae according to the magnitude and angle of the corresponding element of a first test weight vector,  $w_{test1}$ , comprised of one complex element for each antenna of the transmitting unit;
  - (d) creating radio waves by transmitting the plurality of radio carrier signals, as adjusted according to the preceding step and as modulated by the modulating signal, from the corresponding plurality of antennae;
  - (e) during a second time period that does not overlap with the first time period, adjusting the amplitude and phase of the plurality of radio carrier signals of the test signal corresponding to the plurality of antennae according to the magnitude and angle of the corresponding element of a second test weight vector,  $w_{test2}$ , comprised of one complex element for each antenna of the transmitting unit, wherein the second test weight vector is different from the first test weight vector;

(f) creating radio waves by transmitting the plurality of radio carrier signals, as adjusted according to the preceding step and as modulated by the modulating signal, from the corresponding plurality of antennae; and

5 (g) generating a new weight vector,  $w_{new}$ , based upon feedback received from the receiving unit, wherein the new weight vector is a function of the first and second test weight vectors.

2. The method according to claim 1 further comprising the steps of:

10 (h) adjusting the amplitude and phase of the plurality of radio carrier signals corresponding to the plurality of antennae according to the magnitude and angle of the corresponding element of the new weight vector;

(i) creating radio waves by transmitting the plurality of radio carrier signals, as adjusted according to the preceding step and as modulated by the modulating signal, from the corresponding plurality of antennae.

3. The method according to claim 1 wherein the first and second test vectors,  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}1}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}2}$ , are generated as normalized vectors, such that  $\|\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}1}\| = \|\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}2}\|$

4. The method according to claim 3 wherein the norm applied to the first and second test vectors is the 2-norm, said two norm being defined as  $\|\mathbf{a}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{\forall k} |a_k|^2}$ , such that

5 the summation of the powers of the waveforms generated at the plurality of antennae is the same for the application of both first and second test weight vectors.

6. The method according to claim 3 wherein the first and second test vectors,  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}1}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}2}$ , are generated as functions of a first base weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{base}1}$ , where said base weight vector is comprised of one complex element for each antenna of the transmitting unit.

7. The method according to claim 5 wherein the first and second test vectors,  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}1}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}2}$ , are generated as perturbations from the first base weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{base}1}$ , so that  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}1} = A \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\text{base}1} + \mathbf{p}_1}{\|\mathbf{w}_{\text{base}1} + \mathbf{p}_1\|}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test}2} = A \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\text{base}1} + \mathbf{p}_2}{\|\mathbf{w}_{\text{base}1} + \mathbf{p}_2\|}$ , where first perturbation vector  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and second perturbation vector  $\mathbf{p}_2$  are comprised of one complex element for each antenna of the transmit unit and where scaling factor  $A$  is the same for both first and second test weight vector.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

7. The method according to claim 6 wherein the second perturbation vector is the inverse of the first perturbation vector, so that  $\mathbf{p}_2 = -\mathbf{p}_1$ .
8. The method according to claim 6 wherein the second perturbation vector is set to zero and the first perturbation vector is non-zero.
- 5 9. The method according to claim 6 wherein the second perturbation vector is generated in the same manner as the first perturbation vector, and is generated independently of the first perturbation vector.
10. The method according to claim 6 wherein the first perturbation is generated as the product of a perturbation magnitude scalar  $\beta_2$  and a perturbation direction vector  $\mathbf{v}$ , where the perturbation direction vector  $\mathbf{v}$  has a fixed average norm  $B$ , so that  $mean(\|\mathbf{v}\|) = B$  and  $\mathbf{p}_1 = \beta_2 \mathbf{v}$ .
11. The method according to claim 10 wherein the perturbation direction vector  $\mathbf{v}$  is generated pseudo-randomly.
12. The method according to claim 10 wherein the norm used for the perturbation direction vector  $\mathbf{v}$  is the 2-norm.
13. The method according to claim 11 wherein the elements of the perturbation direction vector are uncorrelated, so that  $mean(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^H) = mean(\|\mathbf{v}\|_2) \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_2$  is the 2-norm of the perturbation direction vector  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  is the identity matrix.

14. The method according to claim 11 wherein each element of the perturbation direction vector is pseudo-randomly selected from the set { $C \cdot (+1+j)$ ,  $C \cdot (+1-j)$ ,  $C \cdot (-1+j)$ ,  $C \cdot (-1-j)$ }, such that  $v = C \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \pm j \\ \dots \\ \pm 1 \pm j \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $j$  represents the unit imaginary number, and the scalar  $C$  determines the amplitude of the vector.

5 15. The method according to claim 11 wherein each element of the perturbation direction vector is pseudo-randomly generated as a complex normally distributed variable.

16. The method according to claim 1 wherein the first period of time is comprised of a plurality of non-contiguous time slots.

10 17. The method according to claim 1 wherein the second period of time is comprised of a plurality of non-contiguous time slots.

18. The method according to claim 16 wherein the second period of time is comprised of a plurality of non-contiguous time slots and wherein the first period of time and the second period of time are selected such that the first test weight vector and the 15 second test weight vector are applied in alternating time slots.

19. The method according to claim 1 wherein the first period of time and the second period of time are known to the receiving unit.

20.The method according to claim 1 wherein the modulating signal is a pilot signal, wherein the pilot signal is known by both the receiving unit and the transmitting unit.

21.The method according to claim 1 wherein the receiver generates feedback  
5 indicating which of the two test weight vectors, first test weight vector  $w_{test1}$  or second test weight vector  $w_{test2}$ , generated the larger received test signal power at the receiving unit.

22. The method according to claim 21 wherein the feedback is transmitted from the receiving unit to the transmitting unit as an information bit.

23. The method according to claim 22 wherein the transmitting unit uses the feedback

5 bit transmitted by the receiving unit to generate a second base test weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{base2}}$ .

24. The method according to claim 23 wherein the transmitting unit uses the feedback

bit transmitted by the receiving unit to generate a second base test weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{base2}}$  and wherein the second base test weight vector is set to the value of the test weight vector selected by the receiving unit, either the first test weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test1}}$  or the second test weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{test2}}$ .

25. The method according to claim 10 wherein the transmitting unit uses the feedback

bit transmitted by the receiving unit to generate a second base test weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{base2}}$  and wherein the second base test weight vector is generated from the first

15 base test weight and the perturbation direction vector as  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{base2}} = F \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\text{base1}} + \beta_1 \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{\text{base1}} + \beta_1 \mathbf{v}\|}$  if the feedback from the receiving unit indicated that the first test weight vector provided

more power to the receiving unit, or is set to be  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{base2}} = F \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\text{base1}} - \beta_1 \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{w}_{\text{base1}} - \beta_1 \mathbf{v}\|}$  if the

feedback from the receiving unit indicated that the second test weight vector provided more power to the transmitting unit, where  $\beta_1$  is a scalar scaling factor and  $F$  is a scalar scaling factor.

26. The method according to claim 23 wherein the second base test vector is used to  
5 generate subsequent test vectors applied to the antennae of the transmitting unit.

27. The method according to claim 20 wherein the receiver generates feedback indicating which of the two test weight vectors, first test weight vector  $w_{test1}$  or second test weight vector  $w_{test2}$ , generated the larger received test signal power at the receiving unit and wherein the decision by the receiving unit as to which test weight vector generated the larger received test signal power at the receiving unit is generated through the steps of

10 performing a first correlation of the received waveform with the known pilot signal during the first time period,

15 performing a second correlation of the received waveform with the known pilot signal during the second time period,

selecting the test weight vector which generated the larger correlation magnitude.

28. The method according to claim 27 wherein the first and second correlations are generated through the steps of

performing a third correlation of the received waveform with the known pilot signal during both the first time period and the second time period

5

performing a fourth correlation of the received waveform with a modified version of the known pilot signal, where the known pilot signal is applied positively during the first time period and is applied negatively during the second time period,

obtaining the first correlation as the sum of the third and fourth correlations,  
 obtaining the second correlation as the difference given by the fourth  
 correlation minus the third correlation.

卷之三

29. The method according to claim 28 wherein the third correlation is obtained as a sliding window correlation.

15 30. The method according to claim 28 wherein the fourth correlation is obtained as an  
accumulate and dump correlation.

31. The method according to claim 1 wherein a data signal is transmitted on the plurality of antennae of the transmitting unit with a first data weight vector  $w_1$ .

32.The method according to claim 31 wherein the first data weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_1$  is generated as a scaled version of the mean of the first and second test weight vectors,  $\mathbf{w}_1 = D(\mathbf{w}_{test1} + \mathbf{w}_{test2})$ , where  $D$  is a scalar scaling factor.

33.The method according to claim 6 wherein a data signal is transmitted on the plurality of antennae of the transmitting unit with a first data weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_1$  and wherein the first data weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_1$  is generated as a scaled version of the first base test vector  $\mathbf{w}_{base1}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_1 = E\mathbf{w}_{base1}$ , where  $E$  is a scalar scaling factor.

34.The method according to claim 29 wherein a data signal is transmitted on the plurality of antennae of the transmitting unit with a first data weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_1$  and wherein the third correlation is applied by the receiving unit as a channel estimate to decode the data signal.

35.The method according to claim 1 wherein the transmitting unit is simultaneously transmitting to a plurality of receiving units.

36.The method according to claim 35 wherein the transmitting unit is transmitting test signals, using test weight vectors, to some or all of the plurality of receiving units.

37.The method according to claim 36 wherein the receiving units to which the test signals are being transmitted use those signals to generate feedback.

38.The method according to claim 37 wherein the transmitting unit uses the feedback from those plural receiving units which transmit the feedback to adjust weights of the antennae of the transmitting unit, where said weights are maintained independently by the transmitting unit for each receiving unit.

39. A method for transmitting signals from a plurality of transmitting units to a receiving unit, each transmitting unit having one or more antennae such that the transmitting units as a group comprise a plurality of antennae, the method comprising the steps of:

in each of the plurality of transmitting units, performing the following steps:

- (a) generating a sinusoidal radio carrier corresponding to one of the plurality of antennae;
- (b) modulating the sinusoidal radio carrier with a modulating signal;
- (c) during a first time period, adjusting the amplitude and phase of the radio carrier signal according to the magnitude and angle of a first complex number;
- (d) creating radio waves by transmitting the radio carrier signal, as adjusted according to the preceding step and as modulated by the modulating signal, from the antenna;
- (e) during a second time period that does not overlap with the first time period, adjusting the amplitude and phase of the radio carrier signal according to the magnitude and angle of a second complex number, that is different from the first complex number;
- (f) creating radio waves by transmitting the radio carrier signal, as adjusted according to the preceding step and as modulated by the modulating signal, from the antenna;

(g) generating a third complex number, based upon feedback received from the receiving unit, wherein the third complex number is a function of the first and second complex numbers;

(h) adjusting the amplitude and phase of the plurality of radio carrier signals corresponding to the plurality of antennae according to the magnitude and angle of the corresponding element of the new weight vector;

(i) creating radio waves by transmitting the radio carrier signals, as adjusted according to the preceding step and as modulated by the modulating signal, from the antenna; wherein

each of the plurality of transmitting units have distinct radio carrier signals, modulating signals, first complex numbers and second complex numbers; and

each of the plurality of transmitting units receive the same feedback from the receiving unit.

5

40.The method according to claim 39 wherein each of the transmitting units comprises at least two antennae, and wherein the first and second complex elements for each transmitting unit are part of a corresponding first and second test vector, such that all the first and second test vectors form first and second sets of test weight vectors,  $w_{test1}$  and  $w_{test2}$ .

41.The method according to claim 40 wherein  $w_{test1}$  and  $w_{test2}$  are generated so that the two constituent vectors for a single transmitting unit have the same norm.

42.The method according to claim 41 wherein the norm applied to the first and second test weight vectors for each transmitting unit is the 2-norm, such that the summation of the powers of the waveforms generated at the plurality of antennae of any single transmitting unit is the same for the application of both first and second test weight vectors for that transmitting unit.

15

43.The method according to claim 40 wherein the test weight vectors for each of the plurality of transmitting units are generated in the same manner and are generated independently.

44.The method according to claim 39 wherein the first period of time is comprised of a plurality of non-contiguous time slots, and these slots are the same for each transmitting unit.

45.The method according to claim 39 wherein the second period of time is comprised of a plurality of non-contiguous time slots, and these slots are the same for each transmitting unit.

46.The method according to claim 39 wherein the first period of time and the second period of time are known to the receiving unit.

47.The method according to claim 39 wherein the modulating signal is a pilot signal and each transmitting unit has a different pilot signal, wherein each pilot signal is known by both the receiving unit and the transmitting units.

48.The method according to claim 40 wherein the receiver generates feedback indicating which of the two sets of test weight vectors, first set of test weight vectors  $w_{test1}$  or second set of test weight vectors  $w_{test2}$ , generated the larger received test signal power at the receiving unit.

49.The method according to claim 48 wherein the feedback is transmitted from the receiving unit to the transmitting units as an information bit.

50.The method according to claim 40 wherein the first period of time and the second period of time are known to the receiving unit and wherein the receiver generates feedback indicating which of the two sets of test weight vectors, first set of test weight vectors  $w_{test1}$  or second set of test weight vectors  $w_{test2}$ , generated the larger received test signal power at the receiving unit and wherein the decision by the

receiving unit as to which test weight vector generated the larger received test signal power at the receiving unit is generated through the steps of

5 performing a first set of correlations of the received waveform with the known pilot signal of each of the transmitting units during the first time period, there being one such correlation for each transmitting unit,

forming a first sum of correlations as the sum of the powers of the first set of correlations

10 performing a second set of correlations of the received waveform with the known pilot signals of each of the transmitting units during the second time period, there being one such correlation for each transmitting unit

15 forming a second sum of correlations as the sum of the powers of the second set of correlations

selecting the set of test weight vectors which generated the larger sum of correlations.

51.The method according to claim 49 wherein each of the plurality of transmitting units uses the feedback bit transmitted by the receiving unit to adjust the complex number corresponding to that transmitting unit.

52.The method according to claim 39 wherein a data signal is transmitted on the 20 plurality of antennae of the plurality of transmitting units with a first set of data

weight vectors, with each data weight vector corresponding to one of the plurality of transmitting units.

53. The method according to claim 52 wherein the set of first data weight vectors is generated such that the data weight vector of each transmitting unit is a scaled version of the mean of the first and second test weight vectors for that transmitting unit.

54. A method for transmitting signals from a plurality of transducers at a transmitting unit to a receiving unit through an unconfined medium, the method comprising the steps of:

5 (a) generating a plurality of sinusoidal carriers corresponding to each of the plurality of transducers;

10 (b) modulating the plurality of sinusoidal radio carriers with a modulating signal;

15 (c) adjusting the amplitude and phase of the plurality of carrier signals corresponding to the plurality of transducers according to the magnitude and angle of the corresponding element of a first test weight vector  $w_{test1}$  and a second weight vector  $w_{test2}$ , the first and second weight vectors comprised of one complex element for each of the plurality of the transducers;

20 (d) creating waves in the medium by transmitting the plurality of carrier signals, as adjusted according to the preceding step and as modulated by the modulating signal, from the corresponding plurality of transducers;

(e) generating a new weight vector,  $w_{new}$ , based upon feedback received from the receiving unit, wherein the new weight vector is a function of the first and second test weight vectors;

(f) adjusting the amplitude and phase of the plurality of modulated carrier signals corresponding to the plurality of transducers according to the

magnitude and angle of the corresponding element of the new weight vector; wherein

5 steps (c) and (d) are performed such that a receiving unit in the medium can determine whether the first weight vector or the second weight vector results in a stronger signal transmitted through the medium to the receiving unit.

55. A method for receiving signals transmitted from a plurality of antennae at a transmitting unit, the method comprising the steps of:

5 (a) during a first time period, receiving radio waves transmitted by the plurality of antennae, the radio waves resulting from the transmission by the transmitting unit of a plurality of radio carrier signals, corresponding to the plurality of antenna, whose amplitude and phase were adjusted according to the magnitude and angle of the corresponding element of a first test weight vector,  $w_{test1}$ , comprised of one complex element for each of the plurality of antennae;

10 (b) during a second time period that does not overlap with the first time period, receiving radio waves transmitted by the plurality of antennae, the radio waves resulting from the transmission by the transmitting unit of a plurality of radio carrier signals, corresponding to the plurality of antenna, whose amplitude and phase were adjusted according to the magnitude and angle of the corresponding element of a second test weight vector,  $w_{test2}$ , comprised of one complex element for each of the plurality of antennae, the second test weight vector different than the first test weight vector;

15 (c) comparing the power of the radio waves received during the first time period with the power of the radio waves received during the second time period;

20 (d) transmitting information indicating whether the power of the radio waves received during the first time period is greater than the power of the radio waves received during the second time period.

56. A method for transmitting signals from a plurality of transmitting units to a receiving unit, each transmitting unit having one or more antennae such that the transmitting units as a group comprise a plurality of antennae, the method comprising the steps of:

5 in each of the plurality of transmitting units, performing the following steps:

- (a) generating a sinusoidal radio carrier corresponding to one of the plurality of antennae;
- (b) modulating the sinusoidal radio carrier with a modulating signal;
- (c) creating radio waves by transmitting the radio carrier signal, as modulated by the modulating signal, from the antenna;
- (e) receiving a single information bit of feedback from the receiving unit;
- (h) adjusting the amplitude and phase of the plurality of radio carrier signals based upon the value of the single information bit;
- (i) creating radio waves by transmitting the radio carrier signals, as adjusted according to the preceding step and as modulated by the modulating signal, from the antenna; wherein


10 each of the plurality of transmitting units have distinct radio carrier signals and modulating signals; and

15 each of the plurality of transmitting units receive the same information bit from the receiver.

20

## ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A transmitter generates a pair of test weight vectors, each vector comprised of a plurality of complex entries, with each entry corresponding a different one of a plurality of antennae. The first and second complex weight vectors are applied to a dedicated pilot signal during alternate time intervals. During each time interval, the average of the first and second complex weight vectors is applied to the data traffic transmitted by the transmitter. A receiver alternately receives the pilot signal as multiplied by the first and second weight vectors as described above. The receiver determines which of the weighted pilot signals resulted in a stronger signal received at the mobile and, based upon this determination, transmits feedback. The transmitter receives the feedback and updates the first and second weights accordingly



**Figure 1**




Figure 2




Figure 3





Figure 4



**Figure 5**




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10

# **Declaration, Power of Attorney, Correspondence Address, and Petition**

**Docket Number :** 00-268

## **Declaration**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

**I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:**

**Adaptive Antennae Method and Apparatus**

**the specification of which is attached hereto.**

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a).

I hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

## **Power of Attorney**

I hereby appoint David G. Pursel, Reg. 28,659; Ralph R. Veseli, Reg. 33,807; Bruce R. Hopenfeld, Reg. 39,714; Gary Edward Ross, Reg. 29,431; Lloyd E. Dakin, Reg. 38,423; and Sandeep Jaggi, Reg. 43,331; as my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and before competent international authorities.

## **Correspondence Address**

Please send all correspondence to:

LSI Logic Corporation  
Corporate Legal Department  
Intellectual Property Services Group  
1551 McCarthy Blvd., M/S D-106  
Milpitas, CA 95035  
Phone: (408) 433-8708  
Fax: (408) 433-7770

## **Petition**

Wherefore I pray that Letters Patent be granted to me for the invention or discovery described and claimed in the foregoing specification and claims, and I hereby subscribe my name to the foregoing specification and claims, declaration, power of attorney, and this petition.

**Declaration, Power of Attorney, Correspondence Address, and Petition**

### **First named inventor**

**First Name** Brian

**Last Name:** Banister

**Inventor's Signature:**

Brin Gans Date 8/1/00

**Address** 10354 Moselle St.

City San Diego CA 92131 United States

**Citizenship** United States